

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/728,712	MASUDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Patricia L. Nordmeyer.

 (2) Walter Hackler.
**Status of Application:** Under Appeal

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 May 2007
**Time:** 3:30 p.m.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 6, 7 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Hackler in order to cancel the claim language "without a release coating" from claims 1 and 7, which was rejected under 112 1st paragraph as new matter. Claims 6 and 11 were cancelled because the limitations in the claims were already amended into the independent claims..